

United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 06/28/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/674,205	10/27/2000	Reinhold Mayr	MAYRRETAL-1	3274
. 7:	590 06/28/2002			
Collard & Roe			EXAMINER	
1077 Northern Roslyn, NY 1			PETERSON, KENNETH E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summer:	09/674,205	MAYR ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAN INC. DATE of this communication and	Kenneth E Peterson	3724
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ywithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) divill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 M	<u>May 2002</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,4 and 5 is/are without	drawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3</u> is/are rejected.		·
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept	oted or b) objected to by the Ex	aminer.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• , ,	` ,
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		roved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorapplication from the International Bu* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro		
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4 	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/674,205

Art Unit: 3724

Claims 2,4 and 5 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 7.

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

In the specification, there is mentioned a "blade bias" and an "adjustable bias". It is not clear what the "bias" is. What structure is being recited here? Is there a spring bias?

4. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

On line 2 of claim 1, "a bias" is not understood, as set forth above.

Claims 1 and 3 appear to be rough translations from the original German, and are difficult to read and understand, as set forth below.

Application/Control Number: 09/674,205

Art Unit: 3724

In claim 1, the phrase "the controlling system connected to a signal transmitter for a preset position of rotation of the slider-crank drive controls the motor in dependence on the response of the signal transmitter" is grammatically odd and is not understood.

In claim 1, "the cutting speed" lacks proper antecedent basis.

In claim 1, the phrase "one conveying step adaptable to the respective stroke frequency" is not of clear scope. Pretty much anything is "adaptable" to be something else, and it is not clear what type of relationship is being adapted to.

On line 3 of claim 3, the term "one" is unclear in it's referencing.

On lines 3 and 4 of claim 3, the term "the saw blade disengagement" lacks positive antecedent basis.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 1, as can be understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Traben, who shows a blade (47), a sliding crank (41), a signal transmitter (21), and a motor (see figure 3). A "stored control program" (figures 4,5) causes onestep workpiece advancement per blade stroke.

Application/Control Number: 09/674,205

Art Unit: 3724

7.

Claim wwould be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

Page 4

U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. Made of record but not relied on are patents to Meltzer et al., Smith and Stolzer

showing saw blades with coordinated workpiece feeding.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ken Peterson whose telephone number is 703-308-

2186. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday between 7am

and 4pm. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-

9302. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should

be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

kp June 24, 2002

KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER